





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,833	09/25/2001	Manfred Jagiella	HOE-649	9387
7:	590 10/02/2002			
LAW OFFICES OF BARRY R. LIPSITZ			EXAMINER	
755 MAIN STREET ,BUILDING NO.8 MONROE, CT 06468			SNOW, WALTER E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2862	

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



09/963,833

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Art Unit

Jagiella et al.



Walter E.Snow -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2b) X This action is non-final. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 1-24 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) X Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  $\square$  All b)  $\square$  Some\* c)  $\square$  None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for	domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
ttachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Information Displaces Statement/s\ (DTO 1440) Person No/s\	4	61 Ceber

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) \( \subseteq \text{ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.} \)

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

Art Unit: 2862

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 11-14 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Guckel el al.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-10, 15-22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guckel et al in view of Penney, Jr.

Guckel discloses all of the claimed subject matter except for the specific details of the solder joints as recited in claims 4-10, the specific details of the reference coil, the screening, the dampling element and the placement of the electronic element. Penny teaches providing a screening for a sensor coil. It would have been obvious to provide a screening as claimed to the

Application/Control Number: 09/963,833

Page 3

Art Unit: 2862

sensor of Guckel in view of the teaching of Penney. The specific details of the solder joints, the specific details of the reference coil, the dampling element and the placement of the electronic element are considered obvious design considerations will within the capabilities of one skilled in the art.

W SNOW/pj

09/30/02